



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,166	06/29/2001	Steven Neil Tischer	BELL-0085/01021	7426
38952 7	590 09/08/2004		EXAM	INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			VAUGHN, GREGORY J	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2178	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	_		JR.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/2
	09/896,166	TISCHER, STEVEN N	EIL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory J. Vaughn	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 9 June 2001</u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice undisposition of Claims			erits is
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	tion.	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are:		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •		
,	LABITITION.		
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	nian priority under 25 U.S.C.	£ 110(a) (d) or (f)	
,	eigh phonty under 35 0.5.0.	. 9 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum	anta haya haan racaiyad		
<u> </u>		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			20
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	
S. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10	-

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

Application History

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filing, Application filed on 6/29/2001.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are pending in the case, claims 1, 13 and 21 are independent claims.

Specification

- 3. The use of the following trademarks has been noted in this application:
 - "WINDOWS NT" on page 6, line 16.
 - "WINDOWS 2000" on page 6, line 16.
 - "LINUX" on page 6, line 16.

It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Application/Control Number: 09/896,166 Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

"A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language."
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 5-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hishida et al. US Patent 6,477,549, filed 10/5/1998, patented 11/5/2002 (hereinafter Hishida).
- 7. Regarding independent claim 1, Hishida discloses in Figure 3 reading a first file containing digital content. Hishida discloses in Figure 5 reading a plurality of second files, where the second files contain style definition information. Figure 5 discloses a style definition for a pager or a phone, where the style definition is shown at reference sign 403. Hishida discloses creating a plurality of display instances of the content combined with the style definitions in Figures 13 (a first display style), Figure 14 (a second display style), and Figure 15 (a third display style). Hishida disclose In Figure 12 at

Art Unit: 2178

reference sign S1222, the mapping of the display styles to a display device

(shown as "Calculate Display Position on Virtual Screen").

Hishida disclose a pointing device in Figure 2 at reference sign 204

(shown as a "Mouse"), where the mouse is used for selecting a display

location as per the recitation: "The second object may also be achieved by

the transmission document edition device, wherein the simulation document

creation unit further includes a simulation document display unit for having a

display screen, for creating a frame on the display screen according to the

display screen size information, for cutting part of the virtual screen on which

the document element arrangement unit has arranged the selected

document" (column 4, lines 25-32).

Hishida also discloses in Figure 13 at reference sign 1301, displaying an

instance of the content and combined with the style definition.

8. **Regarding dependent claim 2**, Hishida discloses XML. Hishida Recites:

"While the HTML is used as a markup language in the above-described

embodiments, such a markup language is not necessarily limited to the

HTML. For instance, the XML (Extensible Markup Language) may be used in

describing transmission documents" (column 20, lines 10-15).

9. Regarding dependent claim 3, Hishida discloses in Figure 6, at

reference signs 603 and 604 the use of an extensible style language.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2178

Page 5

- 10. **Regarding dependent claim 5**, Hishida discloses in Figure 6 at reference sign 603, the style definition having a font size (shown as "displaywidth: 80pt, displayheight: 40 pt").
- 11. **Regarding dependent claim 6**, Hishida discloses in Figure 2 at reference sign 204, the use of a mouse.
- 12. **Regarding dependent claim 7**, Hishida discloses in Figure 12 at reference sign S1216, the step of mapping to the display the x-y position location (shown as "Calculate Display Position of Tag Information").
- 13. Regarding dependent claim 8, Hishida discloses the display instance corresponding to the second file mapped to the display location in figures 5, 13 and 14, where Figure 5, at reference sign 402, discloses the display instance (shown as "Pager" and "Phone"), and Figure 13 discloses a pager display, and Figure 14 discloses a phone display.
- 14. **Regarding dependent claim 9**, Hishida discloses in Figure 6 at reference sign 603 a first and second style fields containing a first and second style definition (shown as "displaywidth: 80pt, displayheight: 40 pt").
- 15. **Regarding dependent claims 10-12**, the claims are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their base claims

Art Unit: 2178

- 16. **Regarding independent claims 13 and 21**, the claims are directed toward a computer readable medium and a system, respectively, for the method of claim 1 and are rejected using the same rationale.
- 17. **Regarding dependent claims 14 and 22**, the claims are directed toward a computer readable medium and a system, respectively, for the method of claim 2 and are rejected using the same rationale.
- 18. **Regarding dependent claims 15 and 23**, the claims are directed toward a computer readable medium and a system, respectively, for the method of claim 3 and are rejected using the same rationale.
- 19. **Regarding dependent claim 16**, the claims are directed toward a computer readable medium for the method of claim 8 and are rejected using the same rationale.
- 20. **Regarding dependent claim 17**, the claims are directed toward a computer readable medium for the method of claim 9 and are rejected using the same rationale
- 21. **Regarding dependent claims 18-20**, the claims are directed toward a computer readable medium for the method of claims 10-12, respectively, and are rejected using the same rationale

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 22. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - "(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made."
- 23. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hishida in view of Alam et al. US Patent 6,336,124, filed 7/7/1999, patented 1/1/2002 (hereinafter Alam).
- 24. Regarding dependent claim 4, Hishida discloses a first file with content and a second file with style definitions for the content. Hishida also disclose the second file using extensible style language. Hishida fails to disclose the use of cascading style sheets. Alam teaches the use of cascading style sheets. Alam recites: "The input and output formats may be, for example, portable document format (PDF), rich text format (RTF), hypertext markup language (HTML) format with style sheets, tabular HTML, extensible markup language (XML), cascading style sheets (CSS), Netscape Layers, linked and separate pages, Tag Image File Format (TIFF) or any other image format such as graphics interchange format (GIF), bit map (BMP), or Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG), formats generated by text and/or image

Art Unit: 2178

authoring tools or applications, or any other suitable formats" (column 2, lines 1-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to use cascading style sheets, as taught by Alam to provide style definitions the content display of Hishida in order to provide "a system and method for converting digital data representing an image of a document image stored in one format to other formats for manipulation and display" (Alam, column 1, lines 18-21).

Conclusion

25. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Patent/Publication	<u>Date</u>	<u>Inventor</u>
US-6,327,628	12-2001	Anuff et al.
US-2002/0041287	04-2002	Engeldrum et al.
 US-2002/0051080 	05-2002	Tanaka, Koichiro
 US-2002/0080168 	06-2002	Hilliard et al.
 US-2002/0091738 	07-2002	Rohrabaugh et al.
US-2002/0135621	09-2002	Angiulo et al.
 US-2002/0161803 	10-2002	Shelton, Richard M.
US-2002/0165881	11-2002	Shelton, Richard M.

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Vaughn whose telephone number is (703) 305-4672. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/896,166

Art Unit: 2178

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached at (703) 308-5465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Vaughn August 25, 2004

> STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Statelle